



## **Study Guide on *The Federalist Papers***

### **47-49, 51, 54, 62-63, 69-70, 78, 84-85**

Consider Publius' expression, "But what is government itself, but the greatest of all reflections on human nature?" (#51, p. 331<sup>1</sup>). How does government reflect human nature? Consider the influence of the passions and reason (#49, p. 325). Do these passions belong to each individual man, or to the people as a whole?

Why is the separation of powers in government an important part of the Constitution? What good comes from it, and how is this good produced?

- Does the division of powers direct selfish pursuits toward the common good? Does it turn vicious behavior into public benefit? (cf. #51, p. 332.)
- To what degree is government with separate branches dependent on the noble intentions or public-spiritedness of those in government? Can a system of checks and balances function independently of these?
- "In a free government the security of civil rights must be the same as that for religious rights. It consists in the one case in the multiplicity of interests, and in the other in the multiplicity of sects" (#51, p. 334). Why (or how) does "multiplicity" secure rights?
- What is meant by the statement, "Ambition must be made to counteract ambition" (#51, p. 320)?

What degree of separation of powers is required? Is complete separation of powers a bad idea? Consider #47, #51. How does the Constitution provide the right balance—what is the "great security" that it offers (p. 331)?

What do the Federalist authors mean by "tyranny"? Does it have to do more with the structure and division of political power, or the outcome of the political process?

According to this view, what is the strongest bulwark against tyranny? (Consider #47, p. 308, 310.)

What is meant by "Justice" in these papers, and why is it "the end of government... [and] civil society" (#51, p. 334)?

Why is the legislative branch the most dangerous in a representative republic? Why is the executive branch not the most dangerous, as it is in monarchies and democracies (#48, p. 417–8)?

---

<sup>1</sup> Alexander Hamilton, John Jay, and James Madison. *The Federalist: A Commentary on the Constitution of the United States* Edited by Robert Scigliano. New York: Modern Library, 2000. (All page numbers refer to this edition.)



What does the division of Congress into the House and Senate accomplish? Why is it necessary? (See #48, p. 317.) What is the unique role of the Senate in this division (#62–63)? How does it relate to the passions of the republic (pp. 397–8)?

Does the presidency add a monarchical element to the Constitution? Why is the presidency desirable? Is unity under one person necessary in the executive branch?

According to *Federalist* #78, is judicial tyranny a problem that Americans need to worry about? Why or why not?

*Federalist* 51 famously states that “If men were angels, no government would be necessary” (#51, p. 331). What does this quotation mean? What moral understanding of man and government does it embody? Consider the following questions:

- In the minds of the Federalists, is government necessary only to prevent or correct injustice, or does it perform a positive function?
- Consider the quotation above in the following light: If men were angels, would society exist? In a society, is it necessary that one part be concerned with the good of the whole, and govern the rest? Why or why not?
- What do the Federalist authors believe to be the supreme object of government? Are they consistent in this regard? Is one objective preeminent? Recall the following objects, proposed in the *The Federalist Papers*:
  - “The protection of these faculties [of acquiring property] is the first object of government” (#10, p. 55).
  - Government has been instituted because “the passions of men will not conform to the dictates of reason and justice, without constraint” (#15, p. 91).
  - “the safety and happiness of society are the objects at which all political institutions aim . . .” (#43, p. 283).
  - “Justice is the end of government” (#51, p. 334).
  - “Government is instituted no less for protection of the property, than of the persons, of individuals” (#54, p. 351).

What motivates human conduct, in the minds of the Federalist authors? Is it the pursuit of wealth, self-improvement in moral virtue, public-mindedness, or something else?

What is the “genius of the people of America” (#39, p. 239; #63 p. 405)? How does Madison use this genius in his argumentation? Is he correct in assessing this genius, and its implications?

Why is the majority of the argument in *Federalist* #54 within quotation marks? Does the Federalist author himself make this argument? Is he convinced by it? If so, why not give it in his own voice?